

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/661,896		09/12/2003	Robert E. Smith III	221-0072US 8523		
29855	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
WONG,	CABELL	O, LUTSCH, RUTH	DUNWOODY, AARON M			
P.C. 20333 SH	249			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 60	0		3679			
HOUSTO	N, TX 7	7070	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					~				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/661,89	6	SMITH, ROBERT E.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Aaron M D	unwoody	3679					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 reriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months in patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutatutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ti ntory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONi	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12 September 2	003.						
2a) □ -									
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition	2b)⊠ This action is not for allowance except		rosecution as to the me	erits is				
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
4)🛛 (☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) [(Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election re	equirement.						
Application	n Papers								
9)⊠ ⊤	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)∐ T	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	-	• ,	•	, ,				
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-1	152.				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority			a)-(d) or (f).					
2	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	n received in Applicat	lion No					
3	B. Copies of the certified copies	• •		ed in this National Sta	ge				
	application from the Internation	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certif	ied copies not receiv	ed.					
Attach	a)								
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)					
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date					
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/8/2004</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	2)				

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

No priority claimed.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed 1/8/2004 is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 3 recites, "The floating seal 20 may be an elastomeric material such as PEEK"; however, it is not clear to the Examiner what "PEEK" might be.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 4900071, Smith.

In regards to claim 1, Smith discloses a female undersea hydraulic coupling member, comprising:

a floating seal (50) inserted into a receiving chamber of the female coupling member (60), the floating seal having a smaller outer diameter than the receiving chamber and being movable radially within the receiving chamber, the floating seal

Art Unit: 3679

having at least one axially engageable seal (61) positioned adjacent a first end thereof and at least one axially engageable seal (41) positioned adjacent a second end thereof, and at least one sealing surface extending radially inwardly from the floating seal.

In regards to claim 2, Smith discloses the axially engageable seals being O-rings.

In regards to claim 3, Smith discloses axial ridges extending from the first end thereof.

In regards to claim 5, Smith discloses a retainer nut (30) threaded to the female coupling member, for preventing axial movement of the floating seal.

In regards to claim 12, Smith discloses an undersea hydraulic coupling member comprising:

a female coupling member having an internal bore and a receiving chamber with a shoulder intermediate the receiving chamber;

a floating seal dimensioned for insertion into the receiving chamber and positioned against the shoulder, the floating seal moveable (minutely) radially within the receiving chamber; and

a retainer nut engageable with the female coupling member, the retainer nut configured to hold and restrict axial movement of the floating seal between the retainer nut and the shoulder.

In regards to claim 13, Smith discloses the floating seal having a first end and an O-ring positioned in a groove adjacent the first end thereof.

In regards to claim 14, Smith discloses the floating seal having a second end and an O-ring positioned in a groove adjacent the second end thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,896

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 15, Smith discloses an axially extending ridge (52) from the floating seal.

In regards to claim 16, Smith discloses the floating seal being axially compressed between the retainer nut and shoulder.

In regards to claim 17, Smith discloses the floating seal having at least one sealing surface extending radially inwardly therefrom.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 6179002, Smith.

In regards to claim 7, Smith discloses a floating seal for an undersea hydraulic coupling member comprising:

an elastomeric body (50) having a first smaller outer diameter and a second larger outer diameter, an inner diameter having at least one sealing surface projecting inwardly therefrom, a first O-ring (59) positioned in a groove adjacent a first end of the floating seal, and a second O-ring (58) positioned in a groove adjacent a second end of the floating seal.

In regards to claim 8, Smith discloses a pair of axially extending ridges (51, 52) adjacent the first end thereof.

In regards to claim 9, Smith discloses a pair of axially extending ridges (55, 56) adjacent the second end thereof.

In regards to claim 10, Smith discloses at least one O-ring extending around the outer diameter thereof.

In regards to claim 11, Smith discloses a retainer nut (30) dimensioned to abut the second end of the floating seal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith '071 in view of Smith '002.

In regards to claim 4, Smith '071 discloses the claimed invention except for the float seal having axial ridges extending from the second end thereof. Smith '002 teaches a float seal (70) having axial ridges (74, 75) extending from the second end thereof, "to enhance the seal against the probe section of the male member" (col. 4, lines 35-36). As Smith '002 relates to a undersea hydraulic coupling member, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the float seal with axial ridgesextending from the second end thereof, to enhance the seal against the probe section of the male member, as taught by Smith '002.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith '071 in view of US patent 4884584, Smith.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,896 Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 6, Smith '071 discloses the claimed invention except for the floating seal having a stepped outer diameter. Smith '584 teaches a floating seal (22) having a stepped outer diameter. As Smith '584 relates to a undersea hydraulic coupling member, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the floating seal with a stepped outer diameter, since a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it illustrates the inventive concept of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is 703-306-3436. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 703-306-5771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,896 Page 7

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

.amd

Aaron Dunwoody
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3670